

# Jakarta EE Spec Committee - September 8th, 2021 [1600 UTC]

*Attendees (present in bold):*

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

**Dan Bandera** - IBM - **Kevin Sutter**, **Tom Watson**

**Ed Bratt** - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**, **Lukas Jungmann**

**Andrew Pielage** - Payara

**Scott Stark** - Red Hat - Mark Little, **Scott Marlow**

**David Blevins** - Tomitribe - **Jean-Louis Monteiro**, **Cesar Hernandez**

**Ivar Grimstad** - PMC Representative

**Marcelo Ancelmo** - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

**Werner Keil** - Committer Member

**Jun Qian** - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck (chair)**, **Wayne Beaton**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the August 25th meeting as drafted - Approved.

## Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progress through the [JESP](#)
  - Jakarta EE 10 Plan Review - Candidate specifications
    - PRs <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls>
    - Project Board <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/projects/1>
    - Release Plan  
<https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee10/JakartaEE10ReleasePlan>
  - Getting the CDI 4.0 ballot underway and finalizing the creation of Jakarta Config [Wayne]
    - CDI to be re-created under the IPL (from CPL) to proceed as per track issue  
[https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/emo-team/emo/-/issues/57#note\\_176306](https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/emo-team/emo/-/issues/57#note_176306)
      - What if there was a service release required for CDI 3.0? It would be done by the new project under IPL.
      - As a result of this process, no history will be lost.
      - Committers will be required to confirm their ongoing interest to be

- a committer on the project under IPL
- Concern was raised with regards to the “old” project going away. A snapshot could be taken or a new repository created of the repo at creation time for archival purposes.
- Ed Bratt (Oracle) objected and requested that a new repository be created.
  - This will be accomplished by creating a new repository that holds the code as it is today. This request will be made providing the Creation Review ballot is successfully completed
- Bean Validation will request re-creation under IPL at some point. Scott to consider when. Input from the committee is to do it soon while application of this process is fresh.
- Jakarta Config - re-balloting to finalize the Creation Review, the previous ballot was invalidated
  - Need the Config project to identify which patent option they prefer: IPL or CPL? Project to decide by noon CET on Thursday, September the 9th.
  - The project is also considering changes to the Scope statement
  - With these updates in place the Creation Review can be re-initiated
- If a spec project is requesting a ballot for a spec under CPL, they are required to first go to the SC for approval for the exception (simple majority) [EMO to capture as a best practice]
  - <https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/emo-team/emo/-/issues/99>
- Discussed updates to the tracking spreadsheet for the Jakarta EE 10 Release Reviews and decide if a column to track CIs is needed. Paul to revise the spreadsheet before the next scheduled call.
- Presentation and demo of using GitHub Actions for spec process task automation [Lukas Jungmann]
  - Thanks Lukas for all the hard work to write the Github Action and the demo today, the Specification Committee strongly endorses what you have done here!
  - Lukas to create a PR with the NodeJS Action against the Spec Committee’s repo
    - Others are encouraged to build on what Lukas has done to improve the coverage